

1 **Bacterial population in *Crotalaria ochroleuca* hay subjected to different drying management**
2 **and storage times.**

3
4 L. R. Silva¹, C. D. Nath¹, L. C. Santos¹, A. Ventura¹, M. A. Neres¹.

5 ¹State University of Western of Paraná, Marechal Cândido Rondon, Paraná, 85960-000, Brazil,
6 Email: veterinarialurdes@gmail.com

7
8 **Keywords:** forage legumes, sanitary quality, roughage

9
10 **Introduction:** The haying of forage legumes is still a practice that is underused due to the
11 management demanded in the drying process. *Crotalaria ochroleuca* is a legume that has been
12 cultivated in large areas as a predecessor to the soybean crop due to its potential of controlling
13 nematodes. The green mass that is produced can be preserved for later use in animal feeding.
14 *Crotalaria* is an annual plant, with upright shrub growth, which can reach up to 2.0 meters in height,
15 presenting average yield potential of 7 to 17 tons per hectare (t ha⁻¹) of dry matter (Amabile et al.,
16 2000). It is known that, after the forage reaches the ideal dry matter for storage (above 800 g kg-
17 1), possible losses are due to the action of microorganisms such as fungi and bacteria. Therefore,
18 this study intended to evaluate the bacterial population in the hay of *Crotalaria ochroleuca*
19 produced with and without turning in the field drying process and its storage times.

20
21 **Materials and Methods:** The experiment was conducted in the experimental area of the Professor
22 Antonio Carlos dos Santos Pessoa Farm, belonging to the Center for Agricultural Sciences, State
23 University of Western Paraná, whose campus is located in the municipality of Marechal Cândido
24 Rondon - PR. Randomized blocks were employed as the experimental design, with plots subdivided
25 in time and using two drying methods of management: turning and no turning (during dehydration
26 of forage legume), with subplots being allocated 0 (baling), 30, and 90 days of storage time, with
27 four repetitions. The planting was done in rows with 0.50 m spacing and 10 kg ha⁻¹ of *Crotalaria*
28 *ochroleuca* seeds. The plants were cut on the pre-flowering stage at 82 days after emergence, using
29 a conditioner mower with free-finger beaters and production of 16,566.68 Kg ha⁻¹ DM. After the
30 *crotalaria* cut (February), the plants remained in the field for three days for dehydration. Turning
31 management was performed on the second day, at 14:00. After reaching the ideal dry matter for
32 storage (820 g kg⁻¹), the dehydrated material was collected and stored on pallets, in a covered and
33 ventilated barn. In total, 24 bales were stored, with the removal of 8 bales every 30 days for
34 evaluation. After completing each storage period, the bales were evaluated, and samples were taken
35 for microbiological laboratory analysis of bacteria (*Clostridium* sp., Enterobacteria, lactic acid
36 bacteria, and total bacteria) to count the population of microorganisms, which were determined by
37 culture techniques according to Silva et al. (2007). The results were submitted to statistical analysis
38 using the Statistical Analysis System with the MIXED procedure of SAS® University Edition,
39 where the Tukey test at 5% significance level was utilized.

40
41 **Results and Discussion:** There was no interaction between drying systems and storage times
42 (Table 1). Regarding the management, there were no differences in the population of
43 microorganisms with turning and no turning of plants in sun drying. A high population of the
44 bacterial genus *Clostridium* 7.08 log CFU and a smaller population of BAL were observed. The
45 evaluated storage times did not change the population of *Clostridium*, lactic acid bacteria, and total
46 bacteria. However, the enterobacteria population decreased with storage time, going from 6.42 at
47 30 days to 4.14 log CFU g at 90 days. This microorganism is a facultative anaerobic bacterium

48 (grows in aerobiosis and anaerobiosis), glucose fermenter, and reduces nitrate to nitrite present in
 49 plants (Rhoden, 1974), therefore, it is undesirable in the haying process. This effect of higher
 50 enterobacterial rates at 30 days of storage may be explained by the inhibition of this agent during
 51 more extended storage periods, due to the pH effect and absence of oxygen present in the samples
 52 (França et al., 2015), which slows the growth of these bacteria in the hay. What promoted these
 53 lower rates of microorganisms in the hay during the storage process was the effect of rapid
 54 dehydration and decreased cellular breathing of the plants during the field drying stage, which
 55 favored the paralysis of the metabolic processes of the plant and, as a result, that of the
 56 microorganisms in the hay (Collins and Coblenz, 2007). Due to its decreasing amounts of
 57 enterobacteria during storage, it furthered to produce hay with less contamination and higher
 58 sanitary quality. Although not statistically significant, the other evaluated bacteria, that is,
 59 *Clostridium* sp., lactic acid bacteria, and total bacteria, were present during the hay storage process.
 60

61 **Table 1.** Population of microorganisms (log UFC g⁻¹) in *Crotalaria ochroleuca* hay submitted to
 62 drying managements and three storage times

	Turning		Time (days)			Average	SEM	P- value [§]		
	Yes	No	30	60	90			V	T	V*T
CLOS	7.32a	6.84a	7.05a	7.16a	7.04a	7.08	0.1556	0.0653	0.9529	0.1874
ETB	5.31a	5.26a	6.42a	5.30b	4.14c	5.28	0.2358	0.8769	0.0002	0.5413
LAB	4.46a	4.53a	4.62a	4.19a	4.69a	4.50	0.1034	0.7879	0.0803	0.7481
TB	7.09a	7.05a	7.56a	6.73a	6.92a	7.07	0.1661	0.9154	0.1547	0.3574

63 [§]V – Turning effect; T – Effect of storage time; V*T – Effect of interaction between turning and
 64 storage times. CLOS – *Clostridium* sp., ETB - Enterobacteria, LAB – Lactic acid bacteria, TB –
 65 Total bacteria. SEM= standard error of the mean. Means followed by lowercase letters in the row
 66 differ from each other according to the Tukey test (P≤0.05).
 67

68 **Conclusions:** The drying management does not alter the population of microorganisms evaluated
 69 during the storage of *Crotalaria ochroleuca* hay. In the timeframe of 30 to 90 days of storage time,
 70 enterobacteria have its population reduced.
 71

72 **References:** Amabile, R. F., A. L. Fancelli, A. M. Carvalho. 2000. Evaluation of green manures in
 73 different sowing dates and row-spacings in the cerrados region. Pesq. Agropec. Bras.

74 Collins, M., W. K. Coblenz. 2007. Post-harvest physiology. In: Forages: The science of grassland
 75 agriculture. Barnes, R.F., Nelson, C.J., Moore, K.J., Collins, M. (eds.). Blackwell Publishing, 6th
 76 ed. Iowa.

77 França, A.M.S., I. C. Ferreira, I.C., I. C. Hermisdorff, E. P. Mendonça, E. A. Fernandes & D. A.
 78 Rossi. 2015. Dinâmica química, microbiológica e física da silagem de farelo úmido de glúten de
 79 milho. Ciência Rural.

80 Rhoden, R. A., G. J. Hermann. 1974. Isolation and identification of Enterobacteriaceae in the clinical
 81 laboratory. U.S. DHEW. Center for Disease Control. Atlanta Ga.

82 Silva, N., V. C. A. Junqueira, N. F. A. Silveira, M. H. Taniwaki, R. F. S. Santos, R. A. R. Gomes.
 83 2007. Manual de métodos de análise microbiológica de alimentos. 3. ed. São Paulo: Varela.